

ATTACHMENT 1
DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION
RCRA Corrective Action
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA725)
Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name: _____
Facility Address: _____
Facility EPA ID #: _____

1. Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?

_____ If yes - check here and continue with #2 below,
_____ If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
_____ If data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

**Current Human Exposures Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Event Code (CA725)**

Version: Interim Final
2/5/99

**Current Human Exposures Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Event Code (CA725)**

Version: Interim Final
2/5/99

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

**Current Human Exposures Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Event Code (CA725)**

Version: Interim Final
2/5/99

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be **“contaminated”**⁴ above appropriately protective risk-based “levels” (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

Media	Yes	No	?	Rationale/Key Contaminants
Groundwater				
Air (indoors) ⁵				
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)				
Surface Water				
Sediment				
Subsurface Soil (e.g., >2 ft)				
Air (outdoors)				

_____ If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter “YE,” status code after providing or citing appropriate “levels,” and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these “levels” are not exceeded.

_____ If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each “contaminated” medium, citing appropriate “levels” (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.

_____ If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter “IN” status code.

Rationale and

Reference(s): _____

⁴ “Contamination” and “contaminated” describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based “levels” (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

⁵ **Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to follow the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not pose unacceptable risks.**

Current Human Exposures Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Event Code (CA725)

Version: Interim Final
2/5/99

Current Human Exposures Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Event Code (CA725)

Version: Interim Final
2/5/99

3. Are there **complete pathways** between “contamination” and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table Potential Human Receptors (Under Current Conditions)							
“Contami- nated” Media	Residents	Workers	Day- Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food ⁶
Groundwater	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	N/L	N/L	Yes/No
Air (indoors)	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	N/L	N/L	N/L	N/L
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No
Surface Water	Yes/No	Yes/No	N/L	N/L	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No
Sediment	Yes/No	Yes/No	N/L	N/L	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No
Soil (subsurface, e.g., >2 ft)	N/L	N/L	N/L	Yes/No	N/L	N/L	Yes/No
Air (outdoors)	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	N/L	N/L

Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:

1. For Media which are not “contaminated” as identified in #2, please strike-out specific Media, including Human Receptors’ spaces, or enter “N/C” for not contaminated.
2. Enter “yes” or “no” for potential “completeness” under each “Contaminated” Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations, some potential “Contaminated” Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) are not assigned spaces in the above table (i.e, **N/L - not likely**). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations, they may be possible in some settings and **should be added as necessary**.

_____ If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter “YE” status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major

⁶ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Current Human Exposures Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Event Code (CA725)

Version: Interim Final
2/5/99

pathways).

_____ If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.

_____ If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and

Reference(s): _____

- 4 Can the **exposures** from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be **“significant”**⁷ (i.e., potentially “unacceptable” because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable “levels” (used to identify the “contamination”); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable “levels”) could result in greater than acceptable risks)?

_____ If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially “unacceptable”) for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter “YE” status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to “contamination” (identified in #3) are not expected to be “significant.”

_____ If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be “significant” (i.e., potentially “unacceptable”) for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially “unacceptable” exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to “contamination” (identified in #3) are not expected to be “significant.”

_____ If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter “IN” status code

Rationale and

Reference(s): _____

⁷

If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are “significant” (i.e., potentially “unacceptable”) consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Current Human Exposures Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Event Code (CA725)

Version: Interim Final
2/5/99

Version: Interim Final
2/5/99

_____ If yes (all “significant” exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter “YE” after summarizing and referencing documentation justifying why all “significant” exposures to “contamination” are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).

_____ If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be “unacceptable”)- continue and enter “NO” status code after providing a description of each potentially “unacceptable” exposure.

_____ If unknown (for any potentially “unacceptable” exposure) - continue and enter “IN” status code

[illegible]

**Current Human Exposures Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Event Code (CA725)**

Version: Interim Final
2/5/99

**Current Human Exposures Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Event Code (CA725)**

Version: Interim Final
2/5/99

6. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):

_____ YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the _____ facility, EPA ID # _____, located at _____ under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.

_____ NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."

_____ IN - More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by (signature) _____ Date _____
(print) _____
(title) _____

Supervisor (signature) _____ Date _____⁸
(print) _____
(title) _____
(EPA Region or State) _____

Locations where References may be found:

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers

(name) _____
(phone #) _____
(e-mail) _____

⁸

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.

Optional Exposure Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet
Referenced in CA725 - Question 3

Explanatory Footnotes:

Exposure Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet is a qualitative evaluation of the “completeness” of major pathways between contamination and exposures by plausible receptors. This screening only evaluates the major pathways (that are common at many/most contaminated site situations) and should not be used to reduce the scope of a site-specific risk assessment (which should include all pathways which may be significant at a given site).

Additional note: The following are special situations in which project managers should be cautious about using benchmark or other generic screening levels that have been derived with specific assumptions. In any of the situations, the risk manager should have a risk assessor provide assistance to review the use of the screening models.

- 1) The use of screening levels when multiple contaminants are present at a site; most guidances were developed for single contaminant exposures scenarios and are not appropriate to consider compounded or synergistic effects of multiple contaminants.
- 2) The use of screening levels when multiple routes of exposure are possible for given contaminant; some of the screening guidances consider multiple exposure routes but all of them do not.
- 3) The use of soil screening levels at sites with oily soils, free phase hydrocarbon on the groundwater, and free phase hydrocarbon below the water table; the guidances were developed assuming water leaching of soils not oil transport of contaminants through soils.

Optional Exposure Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet
Referenced in CA725 - Question 3

(1/5/99 Draft)

Screening Potentially Complete Pathways for Contaminated GROUNDWATER

Off-site GW Cont.	wells impacted? wells not “	Potable use Non-potable uses	Phyl/Inst. controls? (e.g., treatment @ wellhead?) Watering plants? Swimming pools? Showering??	Resident (ingestion) (inhalation) (dermal)
On-site GW Cont.	wells impacted? wells not “	Potable use Non-potable uses	Phyl/Inst. controls? (e.g., gw-use restrictions?) Process-water exposures? Watering landscaping? Showering??	Worker (M) (ingestion) (inhalation) (dermal)
On- or Off-site GW Cont.	const. into gw expected? “ ” not “	Phyl/Inst. controls? (e.g., PPE/Training req?)	Const. Work. (inhalation) (dermal cont.)	
On- or Off-site GW	irrigation of veg./fruit expected? “ veg./fruit not “	Phyl/Inst. controls? (e.g, testing/restrictions?)	Food Supply (Ingestion) Cont.	

Screening Potentially Complete Pathways for Contaminated SURFACE SOIL

Off-site SS Cont.	contam. expected contam. not “	Private yards, etc. Not heavy use areas	Phyl/Inst. controls? (e.g., vegetation, etc.)	Resident Recreator (ingestion) (dermal cont.) (inhalation)
On-site SS Cont.	contam. expected contam. not “	High use/maint. areas? Not heavy use areas	Phyl/Inst. controls? (e.g., PPE/Fencing?) (Ok for children?)	Worker (M) Trespasser (i n g e s t i o n) (inhalation) (dermal)
On- or Off-site SS Cont.	cont. construction expected? construct. not “		Phyl/Inst. controls? (e.g., PPE/Training req?)	Const. Work. (ingestion) (inhalation) (dermal cont.)
On- or Off-site SS Cont.	veg./fruit/game expected? veg./fruit/game not “		Phyl/Inst. controls? (e.g., Testing/Restrictions?)	Food Supply (Ingestion)

Screening Potentially Complete Pathways for Contaminated SURFACE WATER/SEDIMENT

Off-site	contam. expected?	Water supply intakes?	Phyl/Inst. controls?	Resident
SW/S	contam. not “	” not expected	(e.g., treated prior to)	(ingestion)
Cont.				(inhalation)
				(dermal cont.)

Off-site	contam. expected?	Private yards, etc.	Phyl/Inst. controls?	Resident
SW/S	contam. not “	Not heavy use areas	(e.g., remoteness?)	Recreator
Cont.			(children?)	(ingestion)
				(inhalation)
				(dermal cont.)

On-site	contam. expected	High use/maint. areas?	Phyl/Inst. controls?	Worker (M)
SW/S	contam. not “	Not heavy use areas	(e.g., fences/signs?)	Tresspassor
Cont.			(children?)	(ingestion)
				(inhalation)
				(dermal cont.)

On- or Off-site	construct. expected?		Phyl/Inst. controls?	Const. Work.
SW/S	construct. not “		(e.g., PPE/training req?)	(ingestion)
Cont.				(inhalation)
				(dermal cont.)

On- or Off-site	fish/shellfish/veg./game expected?	Phyl/Inst. controls?	Food Supply
SW/S	fish/shellfish/veg./game not “	(e.g., consumption	(Ingestion)
Cont.		restrictions?)	

Screening Potentially Complete Pathways for Contaminated SUB-SURFACE SOIL

On- or Off-site	construction expected?	Phyl/Inst. controls?	Const. Work.
SubSoil	construct. not “	(e.g., PPE/training req?)	(ingestion)
Cont.			(inhalation)
			(dermal cont.)

On- or Off-site	deep rooted veg./fruit expected?	Phyl/Inst. controls?	Food Supply
SubSoil	“ veg./fruit not “	(e.g., planting restrictions?)	(ingestion)
Cont.			

Screening Potential Pathways for Contaminated INDOOR AIR

Contamination in groundwater, surface or subsurface soil, surface water, or sediments;

Adjacent to homes?	vapors/particulates likely?	Phyl/Inst. controls?	Resident
“ not “ “	no “ ”	(e.g., barriers/veg.)	(inhalation-indoors)
Adj. to workplace bldgs?	vapors/particulates likely?	Phyl/Inst. controls?	Worker
“ not “ “	no “ ”	(e.g., barriers/veg.)	(inhalation-indoors)

Outdoor Air - Addressed in Earlier Pathways

Examples of Exposure Controls

1. Physical Exposure Controls

Caps

Fences/walls

Security Guards

Vegetative Cover

Natural Inaccessibility

Remoteness/Unattractiveness

Treatment of media (prior to exposure)

Vapor barriers / ventilation systems

2. Institutional Exposure Controls

Posted Signs

Land-use Restrictions (e.g., zoning, deed, Responsible Party statements)

Level of PPE (Personal Protection Equipment)

Safety Training / Newsletters

Activity Permits / Notifications (e.g., construction permits / notifications)

Well Restrictions

Media-use Restrictions

Responsible Party statements of activity / use restrictions

Testing / Monitoring (and restrictions if necessary)

Consumption Restrictions

Restrictions on Frequency of Exposures